Hydraulic Code Rules Revision

Jeff Davis
Randi Thurston
Habitat Program

Overview

- 1. Purpose of the rule update
- 2. Goals for the rule changes
- 3. Stakeholder and public involvement
- 4. Programmatic EIS purpose and comments
- 5. Proposed hydraulic code rule comments and changes
- 6. Next steps once the rules are adopted

Purpose of the Rule Update

- Consistency with changes to the statute
- Adequately reflect evolving fish science
- Incorporate improved project design and construction technology



Goals for Rule Changes

- Effective
- Reasonable
- Certainty
- Flexible
- Cost effective



Stakeholder and Public Involvement

- July 28, 2011 WDFW files a Pre-proposal Statement of Inquiry (CR-101)
- June 22, 2012 WDFW issued a joint Declaration of Significance for the HPA rulemaking action and a SEPA scoping notice.
- October 2013 WDFW prepared Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (DPEIS), outlining potential impacts of the proposed changes
- October/November 2013 WDFW held eight public meetings
- July 2, 2014 WDFW filed Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (CR-102) providing public notice of the proposed rule changes and the opportunity to comment on those changes

Stakeholder and Public Involvement

- July 16, 2014 WDFW prepared Supplemental Draft PEIS, incorporating comments received during the first public comment period
- July 16, 2014 The proposed rules changes, CR-102, and Small Business Economic Impact Statement were published in the Washington State Register
- August 8, 2014 –The Commission conducted a public hearing on the proposed rule changes
- October 27, 2014 WDFW prepared Final PEIS, incorporating comments received during the second public comment period
- November 7, 2014 The Commission will consider adoption of the proposed changes to the state's Hydraulic Code rules

Purpose - Programmatic EIS (PEIS)

Provide information to evaluate the impacts of the proposed rule alternatives:

- No action current rules
- 2. Preferred Alternative
- Increased protection for fish life
- Increased protection for the built environment



Supplemental PEIS Comments

- Comments highlighted where clarification was needed:
 - Ch. 1 Introduction
 - Section 1.2 SEPA process
 - Section 1.5 Concurrent jurisdictions and authorities
 - Ch. 2 Descriptions of alternatives and our use of science
 - Ch. 4 Impacts analysis



SPEIS Comment Topics

- Statutory Authority and geographic jurisdiction
- Process for rule development and SEPA
- Mitigation
- Overlap between HPA and other laws and rules
- Protection and recovery of ESA listed species
- Science in support of rulemaking
- Rule Change Comments

Hydraulic Code Rule Comments

Ranged from very specific language changes to more general concerns



Finding the Balance

 Environmental groups and Tribes believe the revised rules do not provide enough protection

 Regulated community believe the revised rules increase cost of compliance



Hydraulic Code Rule Changes

- Recommended
 adjustments to the Cr 102 Rules
 - Improve readability
 - Improve clarity
 - Improve consistency
 - Reduce redundancy



Comments on Economic Analysis

WDFW received many comments on the economic analysis and Small Business Economic Impact Statement



Next Steps

- Communication Plan
- Implementation monitoring/feedback
 - Tribes
 - Environmental groups
 - Regulated community
- Science and effectiveness monitoring
- Additional rule development



Acknowledgements

- Final PEIS
 - Teresa Scott, WDFW
 - Lisa Wood, WDFW
- Rule development
 - Protection Division staff
 - Habitat biologists
 - Commenters

